

## REMARKS

Reconsideration and allowance of the subject application are respectfully solicited.

Claims 11, 13 through 16, 18 through 21, and 23 through 31 are pending, with Claims 11, 16, 21, 26, and 27 being independent. Claims 11, 13 through 16, 18 through 21, and 23 through 27 have been amended. Claims 28 through 31 have been added.

Claims 11, 16, 21, 26, and 27 were objected to for informalities. The Official Action suggests that the preambles be amended to recite --generating a plurality of moving picture files--. All objections are respectfully traversed, and are submitted to have been obviated by the amendment of the claims as kindly suggested in the Official Action.

Claims 11, 13, 15, 16, 18, 20, 21, 23, and 25 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) over newly-cited US 2003/0093810 A1 (Taniguchi, et al.). Claims 14, 19, and 24 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over Taniguchi, et al. in view of previously-cited US 6,469,737 B1 (Igarashi, et al.). All rejections are respectfully traversed.

Claims 11, 16, and 21 variously recite, *inter alia*, determining a time for dividing during taking the moving picture data based on the information about the control of the camera which is taking the moving picture data (in combination with generating a plurality of moving picture files as claimed).

However, Applicants respectfully submit that neither Taniguchi, et al. nor Igarashi, et al., even in the proposed combination, assuming, *arguendo*, that such could be combined, discloses or suggests at least the above-discussed combination of claimed

features as recited, *inter alia*, in Claims 11, 16, and 21.

Claims 26 and 27 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over previously-cited US 6,208,379 B1 (Oya, et al.) in view of previously-cited US 5,986,695 (Choi) and newly-cited US 5,996,023 (Winter, et al.). All rejections are respectfully traversed.

Claims 26 and 27 recite, *inter alia*, determining a time for dividing during taking the moving picture data based on the information about the area such that the moving picture data is divided into first moving picture data including the area which is prohibited from being displayed and second moving picture data not including the area which is prohibited from being displayed, with generating first and second moving picture files as claimed.

However, Applicants respectfully submit that none of Oya, et al., Choi, and Winter, et al., even in the proposed combinations, assuming, *arguendo*, that such could be combined, discloses or suggests at least the above-discussed combination of claimed features as recited, *inter alia*, in Claims 26 and 27.

Applicants also respectfully submit that there has been no showing of any indication of motivation in the cited documents that would lead one having ordinary skill in the art to arrive at the above-discussed claimed features as recited, *inter alia*, in Claims 11, 16, 21, 26, and 27.

The dependent claims are also submitted to be patentable because they set forth additional aspects of the present invention and are dependent from independent claims discussed above. Therefore, separate and individual consideration of each dependent claim

is respectfully requested.

Applicants submit that this application is in condition for allowance, and a Notice of Allowance is respectfully requested.

Applicants' undersigned attorney may be reached in our Washington, D.C. office by telephone at (202) 530-1010. All correspondence should to be directed to our below listed address.

Respectfully submitted,

/Daniel S. Glueck/  
Daniel S. Glueck  
Attorney for Applicants  
Registration No. 37,838

FITZPATRICK, CELLA, HARPER & SCINTO  
30 Rockefeller Plaza  
New York, New York 10112-3800  
Facsimile: (212) 218-2200  
DSG/jjr

FCIS\_WS 3478731v1